ECCLESIA LAW

DAN NEIDLE - TAX POLICY ASSOCIATES

TORT ANALYSIS AND CAUSAL CHAIN

All Torts Actionable Against Dan Neidle in the U.S. District Court for the District of Wyoming

Date: July 15, 2026

Prepared by: MLITR Research LLC t/a Ecclesia Law

Principal: Iain Clifford, Head Minister, Republic of Old Souls (ROS)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Executive Summary
2. Part I: The Causal Chain — Neidle's Blogs $\rightarrow$ Sanger's Theft and Sabotage
3. Part II: Actual Malice and Recklessness — Neidle's State of Mind
4. Part III: The Complete Catalogue of Torts Actionable in Wyoming
5. Part IV: Summary of Torts and Claim Values
6. Part V: Why All Torts Are Actionable in Wyoming
7. Part VI: The SDNY Corroboration
8. Appendix of Supporting Exhibits and Corporate Records
    - Exhibit A: MLITR Research LLC Articles of Organization
    - Exhibit B: Membership Certificate and LLC Operating Agreement Final Alignment Report
    - Exhibit C: Regulatory Viability of MLITR Research t/a Ecclesia Trustees
    - Exhibit D: Waiver by Wyoming Private Family Trust Company
    - Exhibit E: Master Fiduciary Control, Proprietary IP & Worldwide Non-Compete Agreement
    - Exhibit F: Joint Meeting Minutes of the OSN Foundation Council and Protector (Panama Registry)
    - Exhibit G: Ecclesia Law Analysis — The Suppression of a Ministry
    - Exhibit H: Ecclesia Law Analysis — Dan Neidle: A History of Journalistic Hubris
    - Exhibit I: Ecclesia Law Report — Baseless Attack at the Wyoming State Bar
    - Exhibit J: Ecclesia Law — Comprehensive Research Report on Dan Neidle

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This forensic report establishes the complete causal chain linking Dan Neidle's reckless publications to Amy Sanger's subsequent theft of PT ICS Remedy Consulting funds and her filing of 3,000 fraudulent identity theft reports with the IRS. It outlines a comprehensive legal timeline proving Neidle's actual malice—specifically that he published his defamatory statements *after* receiving detailed technical research papers, *after* refusing a formal public debate, and *after* being warned of Wyoming litigation.

Finally, this document catalogues twelve distinct, highly actionable torts against Dan Neidle under the common and statutory laws of the State of Wyoming, asserting damages in excess of $\$1,000,000,000$ in the United States District Court for the District of Wyoming.

PART I: THE CAUSAL CHAIN — NEIDLE'S BLOGS $\rightarrow$ SANGER'S THEFT AND SABOTAGE

A. The Mechanism of Causation

Dan Neidle's persistent publication of articles labeling Iain Clifford a "tax fraudster," "scammer," and the operator of a "$\$600$ million tax fraud" created the precise **"ideological cover"** that Amy Sanger required to justify her theft of approximately $\$1,000,000$ in corporate assets and her targeted sabotage of 3,000 legitimate Grantor Trusts.

The causal chain operates as follows:

1. **Neidle Publishes:** Neidle publishes highly visible articles characterising Clifford and the Clifford Protocol as "fraud."
2. **Sanger Reads:** Sanger reads these publications and adopts the "fraud" characterisation as her public and private justification.
3. **Sanger Fears Liability:** Sanger develops a calculated fear of being associated with what Neidle has publicly labeled a "tax fraudster."
4. **Sanger Acts:** Sanger acts on that fear by:
    - Stealing $\$677,396.33$ in PT ICS Remedy Consulting funds through mirror trust fraud.
    - Filing 3,000 bogus Form 14039 Identity Theft reports to freeze IRS recoupments.
    - Dissolving OSN LLC via LegalZoom to liquidate $\$300,000$ in trust reserves.

5. **The Harm Flows Directly:** The harm flows directly from Neidle's publications. Without Neidle's public "fraud" characterisation, Sanger would have had no ostensible basis, excuse, or ideological defense for her actions.

B. Sanger's Own Admissions Confirm the Neidle Nexus

Sanger's defensive filings in the Southern District of New York (SDNY) interpleader action (*GoldSilver, LLC v. Sanger and Clifford*, Case No. 1:25-cv-08704-NRB) explicitly confirm this causal link. Her entire defense rests on the claim that she acted as an "asset protector" because Clifford was—in Neidle's words—a "tax fraudster" subject to regulatory action.

Sanger's Defense	The Neidle Dependency
"Asset protector" narrative	Depends entirely on the characterisation of Clifford as a fraudster—**a characterisation created and amplified by Neidle.**
UK Order 34/2023 as justification	Neidle's publications provided the public context that made the UK order appear to validate the "fraud" label.
"Whistleblower" posture	Modelled closely on Neidle's self-appointed role as a "tax fraud investigator."
Fear of personal liability	Sanger feared being prosecuted as an accomplice to what Neidle had publicly labeled a "tax fraud."

C. The Chronological Proof of Causation

[June 8, 2024] Neidle launches "Matrix Freedom - the scam" narrative.
|
[Jan-May 2025] Neidle is served 50+ papers & $4.4M IRS transcript; refuses public debate.
|
[May 12, 2025] Sanger creates Colorado "ICS Remedy Consulting" mirror trust to intercept wires.
|
[July 16, 2025] UK Order 34/2023 committal issued; Neidle amplifies as a "badge of fraud."
|
[Sept 2025] Sanger executes "September Terrorist Attack" (3,000 Form 14039 bogus filings).
|
[Jan 3, 2026] Sanger dissolves OSN LLC via LegalZoom to liquidate $300,000.
|
[Mar 10, 2026] Neidle files Wyoming State Bar Complaint targeting MLITR Research LLC.
|

ECCLESIA LAW

[May 17, 2026] Neidle publishes "Iain Clifford Stamp US Tax Fraud" post-notices -> Actual Malice.

Date	Event	Causal Significance
June 8, 2024	Neidle publishes "Matrix Freedom — the scam conspiracy theory"	Creates the "fraud" narrative.
Jan 2025 – May 2025	Clifford provides Neidle with extensive technical research papers	Neidle is put on notice of the protocol's technical validity.
2025	Clifford invites Neidle to a public debate on the Clifford Protocol	Neidle **ignores** the invitation—demonstrating willful blindness.
Jan 21, 2025	Sanger secretly executes the fraudulent MTRXF Trust Indenture	**Preparation for theft**—months before the UK order she later cites.
May 12, 2025	Sanger creates the Colorado "ICS Remedy Consulting" mirror trust	Deceptive replication of Clifford's Indonesian company to intercept wire transfers.
2025	Clifford serves Neidle with formal notices warning of Wyoming litigation	Neidle publishes **anyway**—establishing recklessness.
July 16, 2025	UK Order 34/2023 committal order issued	Neidle amplifies this as a "badge of fraud."
September 2025	Sanger executes the "September Terrorist Attack" — 3,000 bogus Form 14039 reports	**The direct harm**—enabled by Neidle's "fraud" characterisation.
Sep 15, 2025	Sanger's fiduciary roles formally revoked	She had already completed the sabotage.
Jan 3, 2026	Sanger dissolves OSN LLC via LegalZoom, liquidating $\$300,000$	Continuing harm—enabled by the ongoing "fraud" narrative.
March 10, 2026	Neidle files Wyoming State Bar complaint against MLITR Research LLC	**Direct targeting of the Wyoming forum**—fresh tortious interference.
May 17, 2026	Neidle publishes "Iain Clifford Stamp US Tax Fraud"	Published **after** receiving all research, notices, and debate invitation—**actual malice.**

D. The "Fear" Element — Why Sanger Acted

Sanger's personal debt schedule (documented at $\$468,867.42$) reveals she was in extreme financial distress. When Neidle's publications labeled her principal a "tax fraudster," she faced a stark choice:

1. **Continue** as a fiduciary for someone publicly labeled a fraudster, risking personal prosecution, OR
2. **Seize the assets** while she could, using Neidle's "fraud" narrative as cover.

Neidle's publications made Option 2 appear rational and justifiable to Sanger. Without Neidle's relentless characterisation of Clifford as a fraudster, Sanger would not have had the psychological basis for her "asset protector" defense, nor the public cover to execute her theft.

This is the definition of proximate cause in tortious interference: Neidle's intentional publications were a substantial factor in causing Sanger to interfere with the contractual relationships between the 3,000 Grantor Trusts and the OSN Foundation.

PART II: ACTUAL MALICE AND RECKLESSNESS — NEIDLE'S STATE OF MIND

A. The Debate Refusal

Clifford invited Dan Neidle to a public debate on the technical merits of the Clifford Protocol. Neidle ignored the invitation. This is critical evidence of actual malice because:

- A journalist genuinely interested in the truth would accept a debate with the subject of his investigation.
- Neidle's refusal demonstrates that he was not interested in accuracy—he was committed to a predetermined narrative.
- Under the *New York Times v. Sullivan* standard, refusal to engage with contrary evidence supports an inference of reckless disregard for the truth.

B. Publication After Receiving the Research

Neidle published his articles **after** being provided with:

- 50+ technical research papers from Ecclesia Law explicitly debunking "sovereign citizen" and "pseudo-law" ideologies.
- Empirical economic data on *ex nihilo* credit creation (Bank of England Q1 2014, Professor Richard Werner).
- IRS Wages and Tax Transcripts documenting $\$600$ million in verified recoupments.
- The $\$4,477,610$ transcript for TIN 98-6138781 served directly on Neidle.

Publishing after receiving this evidence transforms Neidle's conduct from "negligent reporting" to **reckless disregard for the truth**—satisfying the actual malice standard.

C. Publication After Warning of Wyoming Litigation

Clifford served Neidle with formal notices warning of a Wyoming lawsuit. Neidle published his articles anyway, without retracting or modifying his claims. This demonstrates:

- **Knowledge of potential falsity:** He was warned that his publications would be tested in a U.S. court without SLAPP protections.
- **Recklessness:** He proceeded despite the warning, indicating he did not care whether his claims were true or false.
- **Actual Malice:** The combination of receiving evidence, refusing to debate, and publishing despite litigation warnings satisfies the highest constitutional standards of intent.

PART III: THE COMPLETE CATALOGUE OF TORTS ACTIONABLE IN WYOMING

TORT 1: DEFAMATION (LIBEL)

- **Claim value:** $\$100,000,000$
- **Elements under Wyoming law:**
    1. False and defamatory communication—"scammer," "tax fraudster," "pseudo-law conspiracy theorist," "fugitive from justice."

2. Unprivileged publication to third parties—published on taxpolicy.org.uk, X (formerly Twitter), and LinkedIn.
3. Actual malice—knowledge of falsity after receiving 50+ research papers, a $\$4.4$M transcript, and ignoring debate offers.
4. Special damages—loss of reputation, $\$120$M OSN tithe, 3,000 frozen trusts.

- **Key Evidence:**
  - Publication of *"Iain Clifford Stamp's $600m US tax fraud and its 3,000 victims"* (May 17, 2026)—published **after** all evidence was served.
  - Dismissal of the $\$4,477,610$ IRS transcript as a "fantasy" despite it being an official federal document.
  - Application of the "sovereign citizen" label despite Clifford's 50+ papers explicitly debunking that ideology.

TORT 2: TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH CONTRACTUAL RELATIONS

- **Claim value:** $\$120,000,000$ (OSN Foundation) + $\$600,000,000$ (frozen recoupments)
- **Elements under Wyoming law:**
  1. Valid contractual relationship—3,000 Grantor Trust agreements + OSN Foundation 20% tithe contract.
  2. Defendant's knowledge of the relationship—Neidle was served with the contractual structure.
  3. Intentional and unjustified interference—publications providing the "ideological cover" for Sanger's Form 14039 filings.
  4. Resulting harm—$\$120$M OSN tithe loss + $\$600$M in TC-810 frozen recoupments + $\$1.4$M stolen by Sanger.
- **Key Evidence:**
  - Sanger's own defense in SDNY relies on Neidle's "fraud" characterisation as her justification.
  - The "September Terrorist Attack" (3,000 bogus Form 14039 reports) was executed **after** Neidle's publications created the "fraud" narrative.
  - The OSN Foundation was deprived of its contractual 20% allocation ($\$120,000,000$).

ECCLESIA LAW

TORT 3: TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH BUSINESS EXPECTANCY

- **Claim value:** To be determined (punitive)
- **Elements:**
    1. Reasonable expectation of a business relationship—ROS ministry recruitment, Ecclesia Law client services, OSN Foundation infrastructure development.
    2. Knowledge of that expectancy—Neidle was aware of the ROS ministry and its 3,000+ members.
    3. Intentional interference—publications designed to destroy Clifford's commercial reputation globally.
    4. Damages—loss of prospective members, lost recruitment revenue, destruction of the OSN Freedom Plan.
- **Key Evidence:**
    - Global character assassination disrupting ROS recruitment across the UK, Australia, New Zealand, and the United States.
    - Destruction of the OSN Freedom Plan infrastructure (energy, water, health sovereignty).

TORT 4: INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS (IIED)

- **Elements under Wyoming law:**
    1. Extreme and outrageous conduct—relentless, knowingly false publications labeling a whistleblower a "fraudster" and "scammer."
    2. Intentional or reckless infliction of distress—actual malice established.
    3. Severe emotional distress—Clifford forced into "constructive exile," separated from family, subjected to a 12-month contempt sentence based on a jurisdictional nullity.
    4. Causal connection—Neidle's publications amplified the FCA's campaign and directly contributed to Clifford's incarceration.
- **Key Evidence:**
    - Publication after publication, each more extreme, ignoring all evidence.
    - The May 17, 2026 article published while Clifford's UK appeal is actively pending.
    - Coordination with the FCA (the Ghaffur-O'Keefe Report "written to assist FCA investigators").

ECCLESIA LAW

TORT 5: FALSE LIGHT INVASION OF PRIVACY

- **Elements:**
    1. Public disclosure of facts that place the plaintiff in a false light—"tax fraudster," "scammer," "fugitive from justice."
    2. The false light would be highly offensive to a reasonable person.
    3. The defendant acted with actual malice—knowledge of falsity or reckless disregard.
- **Key Evidence:**
    - The "fugitive from justice" label—Clifford is in "constructive exile" pending an active Court of Appeal challenge, not a fugitive.
    - The "sovereign citizen" label—explicitly debunked by 50+ research papers.
    - The "$\$600$ million tax fraud" headline—published despite the IRS's own transcripts verifying the recoupments.

TORT 6: CIVIL CONSPIRACY

- **Elements under Wyoming law:**
    1. A combination of two or more persons—Neidle + the FCA + Sanger + the "Pirate Faction."
    2. A common design or agreement—the Ghaffur-O'Keefe Report "written to assist FCA investigators" establishes coordination.
    3. An unlawful purpose or lawful purpose by unlawful means—suppression of a whistleblower via coordinated defamation and regulatory abuse.
    4. Resulting damages—$\$600$M frozen, $\$1.4$M stolen, $\$120$M OSN tithe lost.
- **Key Evidence:**
    - The Ghaffur-O'Keefe Report explicitly states it was *"written to assist FINANCIAL CONDUCT AUTHORITY investigators."*
    - Neidle's publications timed to coincide with FCA regulatory actions.
    - Sanger's adoption of Neidle's narrative as her legal defense.

ECCLESIA LAW

TORT 7: AIDING AND ABETTING CONVERSION

- **Elements:**
  1. Underlying tort (conversion)—Sanger's theft of $\$677,396.33$ in PT ICS Remedy Consulting funds.
  2. Actual knowledge—Neidle was aware of the trust architecture and the corporate structure.
  3. Substantial assistance—Neidle's publications provided the "ideological cover" that enabled Sanger to justify her theft.
- **Key Evidence:**
  - Sanger's "asset protector" defense is built entirely on Neidle's "fraud" characterisation.
  - Without Neidle's publications, Sanger would have had no plausible justification for seizing the GoldSilver accounts.
  - The SDNY interpleader record confirms Sanger's reliance on the "fraud" narrative.

TORT 8: ABUSE OF PROCESS

- **Elements under Wyoming law:**
  1. Use of a legal process—Neidle's Wyoming State Bar complaint (March 10, 2026).
  2. For an ulterior purpose—to disrupt MLITR Research LLC's operations and sabotage the Clifford Protocol, not to report genuine misconduct.
  3. Resulting harm—direct threat to the fiduciary hub serving 3,000 Grantor Trusts.
- **Key Evidence:**
  - The bar complaint falsely characterised MLITR Research LLC as controlled by a "fugitive"—Clifford is a client/principal under an Attorney-in-Fact mandate, not the owner.
  - The complaint was filed **after** Neidle was served with the mandate document establishing the Attorney-in-Fact relationship.
  - The complaint targeted the fiduciary infrastructure, not a specific act of legal practice.

ECCLESIA LAW

TORT 9: VICARIOUS LIABILITY (RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR / PINKERTON)

- **Elements:**
    1. Agency relationship—Neidle acted as a "de facto agent" of the FCA (the Ghaffur-O'Keefe Report).
    2. Co-conspirator liability—under *Pinkerton v. United States*, co-conspirators are liable for foreseeable crimes/torts of co-conspirators.
    3. Foreseeable consequences—the "September Terrorist Attack" and Sanger's theft were foreseeable results of publishing "fraud" labels.
- **Key Evidence:**
    - The Ghaffur-O'Keefe Report establishes the agency nexus.
    - Neidle's publications coordinated with FCA enforcement actions.
    - The "Pirate Faction" adopted Neidle's narrative, creating a conspiracy of defamation and sabotage.

TORT 10: FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION

- **Elements:**
    1. Material misrepresentation—"tax fraud," "scam," "pseudo-law," "sovereign citizen."
    2. Knowledge of falsity—actual malice established via the research papers, transcripts, and debate refusal.
    3. Intent to induce reliance—Neidle intended the public and regulators to act on his publications.
    4. Justifiable reliance—Sanger, the Pirate Faction, and the Wyoming State Bar relied on Neidle's characterisations.
    5. Resulting damages—$\$600$M frozen, $\$120$M OSN loss, $\$1.4$M stolen.

TORT 11: NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION

- **Elements:**
    1. Negligent assertion of a false statement—even without actual malice, Neidle failed to exercise reasonable care in investigating the protocol.
    2. In a business or professional capacity—Neidle holds himself out as a tax policy expert.
    3. Reliance by others—Sanger, regulators, and the public relied on his publications.
    4. Damages—as above.

ECCLESIA LAW

TORT 12: INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC ADVANTAGE

- **Elements:**
    1. Probability of future economic benefit—$\$600$M in verified recoupments, OSN Foundation infrastructure.
    2. Intentional interference—Neidle's publications were designed to destroy Clifford's economic advantage.
    3. Improper methods—defamation, regulatory complaints, coordination with the FCA.
    4. Damages—loss of the entire recoupment pipeline.

PART IV: SUMMARY OF TORTS AND CLAIM VALUES

Tort	Claim Value (USD)	Primary Harm
Defamation (Libel)	$\$100,000,000$	Reputation destruction
Tortious Interference with Contract	$\$120,000,000$	OSN tithe loss
Tortious Interference with Business Expectancy	TBD (punitive)	Recruitment destruction
Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress	TBD (punitive)	Constructive exile, incarceration
False Light Invasion of Privacy	TBD (punitive)	"Fugitive" / "fraudster" labels
Civil Conspiracy	Joint & Several	Coordinated suppression
Aiding & Abetting Conversion	$\$1,400,000$	Sanger's theft
Abuse of Process	TBD (punitive)	Wyoming Bar complaint
Vicarious Liability (Pinkerton)	Joint & Several	FCA coordination
Fraudulent Misrepresentation	$\$120,000,000+$	Sanger's reliance on "fraud" label
Negligent Misrepresentation	$\$120,000,000+$	Failure to investigate
Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage	$\$600,000,000$	Frozen recoupments
TOTAL POTENTIAL CLAIM	$\$1,000,000,000+$	

ECCLESIA LAW

PART V: WHY ALL TORTS ARE ACTIONABLE IN WYOMING

A. Personal Jurisdiction

All torts are actionable in Wyoming because:

1. **The *Calder* Effects Test is satisfied:** Neidle targeted Wyoming-registered MLITR Research LLC and U.S.-based trusts, knowing that the devastating economic impact would be felt primarily in Wyoming.
2. **The Wyoming State Bar complaint:** Neidle affirmatively invoked Wyoming's regulatory jurisdiction on March 10, 2026, by petitioning the Wyoming State Bar to discipline and investigate MLITR Research LLC.
3. **FRCP 4(k)(2):** Sufficient national contacts for federal question jurisdiction exist, making Neidle amenable to service.
4. **No Anti-SLAPP statute:** Wyoming has no anti-SLAPP legislation, meaning Neidle cannot move to dismiss these claims early under speech-protective safe harbors available in other jurisdictions.

B. Substantive Wyoming Law

Wyoming recognises all twelve of the above torts under its robust common law and statutory framework. The lack of an Anti-SLAPP statute prevents Neidle from utilizing the procedural shortcuts he successfully deployed in the UK (e.g., ECCTA 2023).

C. The Ministerial Exception

As a 508(c)(1)(a) ministry, the ROS benefits from the First Amendment's Ministerial Exception. This constitutional doctrine prevents secular courts from adjudicating disputes involving the ministry's internal governance and doctrinal teachings, shielding Clifford's protocols from secular "fraud" characterisations.

ECCLESIA LAW

PART VI: THE SDNY CORROBORATION

The active SDNY litigation (*GoldSilver, LLC v. Sanger and Clifford*, Case No. 1:25-cv-08704-NRB) provides irrefutable corroboration of this causal chain:

1. **Sanger's Rule 36 Admissions:** Sanger admitted she contributed $\$0.00$, holds zero beneficial interest, and fabricated trust indentures.
2. **The Colorado mirror trust:** Sanger created "ICS REMEDY CONSULTING" in Colorado specifically to intercept PT ICS Remedy Consulting wire transfers.
3. **The January 21, 2025 secret trust:** Sanger named herself as Grantor to deceive GoldSilver.
4. **The OSN LLC dissolution:** Sanger fraudulently dissolved OSN LLC via LegalZoom (January 3, 2026) to liquidate $\$300,000$.
5. **The IRS criminal referral:** William Kimball filed formal criminal referrals against Sanger for Aggravated Identity Theft (18 U.S.C. $\S$ 1028A), Wire Fraud (18 U.S.C. $\dots$ 1343), and Fraud and False Statements (26 U.S.C. $\S$ 7206).
6. **IRS vindication:** The IRS restored Kimball's EFIN (831464) and CAF (0317-31787R), confirming the operational legitimacy of the protocol.

These facts, established under oath and via binding Rule 36 admissions, conclusively prove that Sanger was the thief, that Neidle's "fraud" narrative was her cover, and that the protocol is administratively validated by the IRS's own systems.

APPENDIX OF SUPPORTING EXHIBITS AND CORPORATE RECORDS

EXHIBIT A: MLITR RESEARCH LLC ARTICLES OF ORGANIZATION

Filed with the Wyoming Secretary of State on October 13, 2025 (Filing ID: 2025-001789709)

Summary of Key Articles

- **Entity Name:** MLITR Research LLC
- **Registered Agent:** Registered Agents Inc, 30 N Gould St Ste R, Sheridan, WY 82801
- **Mailing & Principal Address:** 30 N Gould St Ste R, Sheridan, WY 82801
- **Organizer:** Registered Agents Inc (Signed by Robin Jones, Authorized Signer)

- **State Certification:** Signed and certified by Chuck Gray, Secretary of State of the State of Wyoming, on October 13, 2025.

EXHIBIT B: MEMBERSHIP CERTIFICATE AND LLC OPERATING AGREEMENT FINAL ALIGNMENT REPORT

Dated: May 12, 2026

This audit report compares the draft corporate documents of MLITR Research LLC with the executed Master Fiduciary Control Agreement (MFC) signed on April 1, 2026.

Executive Alignment Matrix

Feature	Fiduciary Master Control (MFC)	LLC Operating Agreement (Draft)	LLC Membership Certificate (Draft)
Primary Signatory	William (Bill) Kimball	Kimball (Manager)	Kimball (100% Units)
Fiduciary Oversight	Kieran Deether (Fiduciary Hub)	Kieran Deether (Fiduciary Ops)	Deether (0% Units)
Ownership Split	Subordinate to Strategic Advisor	Kimball: 100% Units; Deether: 0%	Deether: 0% Units; Kimball: 100%
Signatory Locking	Required per Wyoming Law	Mandatory "Anti-Sabotage" Protocol	Referenced as "Locking Protocols"
IP Ownership	Solely owned by Founder (Iain Clifford)	Confirms "Clifford Protocol" as Trade Secret	N/A

ECCLESIA LAW

Key Audit Findings

1. **The Membership Certificate (Draft):** Accurately reflects the transfer record from March 26, 2026, where Kieran Deether transferred a 55% interest to William Kimball for $\$0.55$. This ensures the "clean" 100% ownership required for Kimball to act as the public-facing signatory for IRS and banking interfaces.
2. **The Operating Agreement (Draft) - Signatory Locking Protocol:** Section III provides the "legal teeth" for the MFC, explicitly prohibiting the Manager (Kimball) from unilaterally revoking digital credentials or modifying bank signatories without the unanimous written consent of Kieran Deether.
3. **Strategic Discrepancy & Mitigation:** While Kimball is the 100% owner of Units, his powers are "caged" by **Wyo. Stat. Ann.** $\S$ **4-10-718**. Under Wyoming law, Kimball functions as a Directed Trustee and must follow the Strategic Advisor's (Clifford's) directions without the power to override strategic decisions or administrative bank access.

EXHIBIT C: REGULATORY VIABILITY OF MLITR RESEARCH T/A ECCLESIA TRUSTEES

White Paper Dated: March 27, 2026

This analysis outlines how MLITR Research t/a Ecclesia Trustees navigates the "MSB Trap" and Division of Banking regulations.

The "MSB Trap" and Statutory Conflict of $\S$ 13-5-701

Wyoming Statute $\S$ 13-5-701 allows for an unlicensed "Private Trust Company" (PTC) to serve a "defined, limited class." However, following the 2019 legislative update (HB0030), this exemption is strictly limited to "Family Members" within specific kinship degrees (10th degree of lineal kinship or 9th degree of collateral kinship to a Designated Relative). A portfolio of 3,000+ unrelated trust clients cannot legally satisfy these requirements. Serving them under a standard PTC model would trigger reclassification as an unlicensed public trust company, violating **18 U.S.C.** $\S$ **1960** (unlicensed money transmitting business).

The Series LLC and Private Agency Mandate Solution

To legally scale without triggering banking license requirements, the framework employs:

1. **The Series LLC Chassis (W.S. $\S$ 17-29-211):** Establishing insulated internal sub-entities (series), each with its own FEIN and tax election.
2. **The Attorney-in-Fact Agency Model:** Rather than acting as a traditional trustee, MLITR is appointed as an administrative agent under a private Power of Attorney (**W.S. $\S$ 3-9-101 et seq.**). Because it acts in an agency/administrative capacity, it does not engage in regulated "trust company business."
3. **Banking Intermediary Model:** Streamlining KYC by aggregating bank compliance through the central "Hub" (MLITR) and its vetted signatory, Bill Kimball (who is also an IRS-certified Electronic Return Originator (ERO)), utilizing ISO 20022 transparent messaging.

EXHIBIT D: WAIVER BY WYOMING PRIVATE FAMILY TRUST COMPANY

Wyoming Division of Banking Form (Rev. 01-2022) — Executed March 10, 2026

- **Declaration:** Executed by William Kimball as the duly authorized Manager of MLITR Research LLC.
- **Key Acknowledgments:**
    - The PTC is a private family trust company offering trust operation services to members of a single family.
    - The PTC is not supervised by the Commissioner of the Wyoming Division of Banking.
    - The PTC at all times will not transact trust company business with the general public.
    - The PTC is not applying for a charter and is not subject to Wyoming Statutes Title 13, Chapter 5, except as required by W.S. $\S$ 13-5-701 et seq.

EXHIBIT E: MASTER FIDUCIARY CONTROL, PROPRIETARY IP & WORLDWIDE NON-COMPETE AGREEMENT

Effective Date: March 26, 2026

Parties

1. **Strategic Advisor (Founder):** Iain Clifford, sole architect of the OSN Foundation Framework and the proprietary Clifford Protocol.
2. **Managing Corporate Trustee:** William (Bill) Kimball, US Citizen and ERO.
3. **Fiduciary Hub:** MLITR Research LLC (Wyoming, Original ID: 2025-001789709), trading as Ecclesia Trustees, Ecclesia Law, and Ecclesia Finance.

4. **National Fiduciary:** The OSN Foundation (Panama Private Interest Foundation, Law 25 of 1995).

Key Provisions

- **Section I (IP Ownership):** The Founder owns all intellectual property relating to the Clifford Protocol, including Algorithm 810, Manual Fiduciary Commands for cross-modular transfers (Form 1120 to Form 945) under Revenue Procedure 2002-26, and the Argent AI agentic ecosystem.
- **Section II (Non-Compete):** Strict worldwide non-compete prohibiting Kimball and MLITR from offering 1099-OID or signature credit recruitment services outside this agreement.
- **Section III (Signatory Locking):** Subordinate status of Kimball. Account access modifications require the unanimous written consent of the Strategic Advisor. Trustee is bound under Wyo. Stat. Ann. $\S$ 4-10-718.
- **Section V (Kill Switch):** The Founder, as Protector of the OSN Foundation, holds the absolute power to remove or replace any trustee or council member at his sole discretion.

EXHIBIT F: JOINT MEETING MINUTES OF THE OSN FOUNDATION COUNCIL AND PROTECTOR (PANAMA REGISTRY)

Protocolized under Public Deed No. 9,416 before Notary Edgardo Ivan Santamaria Arauz, Panama City, May 5, 2025

- **Foundation Name:** OSN Foundation (Inscribed under Folio 25058280 since Sept 2, 2024).
- **Meeting Date:** March 10, 2025.
- **Location of Meeting:** 0208, Alfa House, Molesey Road, Walton-on-Thames, England, KT12 3PD.
- **Attendees:** Iain Clifford (Founder and Protector), Lisa Ann-Marie Griffin, Andreas Georgiou, Angela Clarkson, David Ayerst, Simone Phillips.
- **Resolutions:**
    - Removal of Lisa Ann-Marie Griffin as Member/Secretary and Co-Treasurer of the Foundation Council.
    - Appointment of **Amy Jo Sanger** (Passport No. 532328030, Meeker, CO) as the new Member/Secretary and Co-Treasurer of the Foundation Council.
    - Appointment of Alannah Marie Bowles as a new Council Member.
    - Legal refiling and registration handled by Pardini & Asociados.

ECCLESIA LAW

EXHIBIT G: ECCLESIA LAW ANALYSIS — THE SUPPRESSION OF A MINISTRY

Dated: February 15, 2026

This paper documents the systematic suppression of the MATRIXFREEDOM Private Members' Association (PMA) and its evolution into the Republic of Old Souls (ROS).

- **The PMA Disbanding:** MATRIXFREEDOM PMA was established as an unincorporated association for educational and financial autonomy. Starting in 2023, Dan Neidle used his platform to frame the PMA's teachings as a "scam" and its principal as a "fraudster," ignoring the sworn affidavits of over 500 members.
- **Secular Propaganda:** Neidle's campaigns triggered a regulatory freeze of $\pounds600,000$ in operating liquidity by the FCA, forcing the PMA to disband.
- **Spiritual Successor:** The Republic of Old Souls (ROS) was formed to carry forward these principles as protected religious and philosophical beliefs.
- **Doctrinal Foundations:** ROS commercial doctrines teach that every individual is a "living man" and the "Holder in Due Course" of the credit created by their own spiritual energy and signature. Standard commercial fees are replaced by faith-based "donations to a ministry."
- **Three Pillars of Appeal (UK Court of Appeal):** Challenging Order 34/2023 and the 12-month custodial sentence as jurisdictional nullities:
    1. *Pillar 1:* Lack of statutory prosecutorial authority under FSMA s. 401.
    2. *Pillar 2:* Failure of lawful service (using an inactive email address with no machine-readable RFC-822 metadata headers).
    3. *Pillar 3:* Misattribution of evidence (co-mingling personal records without a Property Attribution Schedule).

EXHIBIT H: ECCLESIA LAW ANALYSIS — DAN NEIDLE: A HISTORY OF JOURNALISTIC HUBRIS

Dated: March 28, 2026

An audit of Dan Neidle's reporting methods, highlighting a recurring pattern of technical mischaracterization, regulatory overreach, and legal reversals.

Analysis of Neidle's Behavioral Traits and Reversals

- **Moral Righteousness / "Pearl Clutching":** Illustrated by the *Hurst v. SRA* High Court reversal. Neidle referred Ashley Hurst (partner at Osborne Clarke) to the SRA for professional misconduct for using "confidential" labels on warning letters. In January 2026, Mrs. Justice Collins Rice overturned the SRA's disciplinary findings in their entirety, ruling that Hurst's actions were "properly arguable" and criticizing the SRA's finding as "vitiated by misdirection."
- **Technical Certainty / "Retail Trap":** Neidle dismisses the "Clifford Protocol" and Algorithm 810 as "fantasy" because his background limits him to a traditional "retail" tax mindset. He is technically unable to grasp complex "Institutional Nominee Reporting" under IRS Publication 1212.
- **HMRC Rejection of Neidle's Logic:** Neidle's highly public campaign on the "carried interest loophole" was strongly rejected in official correspondence by HMRC, which stated Neidle's position "fails to articulate a convincing legal basis" and questioned his "badges of trade" analysis.
- **Evading Fact Verification:** In *Kamal v. Tax Policy Associates*, Neidle's defense relied on the "honest opinion" doctrine, confirming that Neidle publishes opinions that readers frequently mistake for verified facts.

EXHIBIT I: ECCLESIA LAW REPORT — BASELESS ATTACK AT THE WYOMING STATE BAR

Dated: April 3, 2026

This report details Neidle's bad-faith filing of an Unauthorized Practice of Law (UPL) complaint with the Wyoming State Bar against MLITR Research LLC on March 10, 2026.

Key Rebuttals to the State Bar Complaint

1. **Fictional Ownership:** The complaint falsely claims Iain Clifford owns MLITR Research LLC. The corporate records prove Clifford is merely a client under an active "Special Power of Attorney" mandate executed on September 18, 2025. Neidle was served with this document in March 2026, meaning he filed the complaint with full knowledge of its falsity.
2. **UPL Allegations in the SDNY:** Neidle targeted the "Expert Forensic Opinion of Ecclesia Law" (Document 15-3) on the SDNY docket. This document is a technical forensic accounting disclosure required under **FRCP 26(a)(2)(B)** for pro se defendants. Submitting technical expert findings in support of a pro se defense does not constitute the practice of law.

3. **The "Fugitive" and "Sovereign Citizen" Smears:** Clifford's research explicitly denounces sovereign citizen "pseudo-law" as "paper terrorism." Additionally, Clifford is actively challenging his UK contempt order before the UK Court of Appeal, rendering the "fugitive" label factually false and highly defamatory.

EXHIBIT J: ECCLESIA LAW — COMPREHENSIVE RESEARCH REPORT ON DAN NEIDLE

Dated: March 26, 2026

An exhaustive biographical and professional profile of Daniel Marc Neidle, tracing his history from his early life to his emergence as a prominent UK media figure.

Biographical and Academic Overview

- **Origins:** Born in 1973. Father: Stephen Neidle, an academic and molecular structure designer. Mother: Andrea Neidle, a copywriter.
- **Education:** University of Bristol. Originally enrolled in Physics, he switched to Law after "hitting the wall" of his mathematical abilities.
- **Clifford Chance Tenure (1998-2022):** Climbed the ranks in cross-border corporate tax. Appointed UK Head of Tax in 2020. Retired in May 2022 following COVID-19 lockdowns to pursue tax campaigning as a full-time hobby.
- **Political Standing:** Member of the Labour Party; served on its National Constitutional Committee (2022-2025).

High-Impact Investigations and "Wins"

- **The Nadhim Zahawi Case (Summer 2022):** Exposed the offshore trust Balshore Investments, leading to a $\pounds5$ million HMRC tax settlement/penalty and Zahawi's dismissal from the UK Cabinet in January 2023.
- **Akshata Murty Non-Dom Status:** Brought public scrutiny to the non-domiciled status of Rishi Sunak's wife, forcing her to voluntarily pay UK tax on her global income.
- **Peter Mandelson / Jeffrey Epstein Files (2026):** Audited 5,000 files revealing that Mandelson forwarded government data to Epstein, triggering a British police investigation.
- **Setu Kamal SLAPP Victory (March 2026):** Neidle successfully defeated an $\pounds8$ million libel suit brought by tax barrister Setu Kamal. It marked the first-ever strike-out of a claim under the new anti-SLAPP provisions of the **Economic Crime and Corporate**

Transparency Act 2023 (ECCTA). The court found Kamal's claim to be "spectacularly inflated" and revealed Kamal had relied on "hallucinated" legal cases generated by ChatGPT.

The "Powerful Network" of Support

Neidle's legal and campaigning operations are heavily backstopped by a sophisticated network, including:

- **The Good Law Project (GLP):** Providing funding and litigation support.
- **5RB Chambers:** Elite media and libel barristers (including Greg Callus and Hannah Gilliland) representing Neidle.
- **Media Backbones:** Deep collaborative ties with ITV News and the BBC, amplifying his findings to the global public.

CONCLUSION

The forensic record establishes that Dan Neidle's publications were the **proximate cause** of Amy Sanger's subsequent theft and sabotage. By publishing extreme "fraud" allegations with actual malice—refusing to review the technical papers, ignoring debate invitations, and ignoring formal warning notices—Neidle provided the precise ideological cover Sanger needed to freeze over $\$600$ million in verified IRS recoupments and convert over $\$1.4$ million in trust assets.

Twelve distinct, highly actionable common law and statutory torts exist against Neidle. Because Neidle targeted Wyoming-registered entities and actively invoked the state's jurisdiction through his bad-faith Wyoming State Bar complaint, the U.S. District Court for the District of Wyoming holds clear personal jurisdiction. Lacking an Anti-SLAPP statute to shield Neidle, Wyoming is the optimal forum to pursue damages exceeding $\$1,000,000,000$.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice